

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/673,710	1	09/29/2003	Norman E. Peterson	5819	2460	
44341	7590	11/02/2004		EXAMINER		
JACOBSO ONE WEST		NSON STREET, SUITE 28:	TRAN, LEN			
ST. PAUL,		,		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
				1725		

DATE MAILED: 11/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summers	10/673,710)	PETERSON, NORMAN E.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
	Len Tran		1725					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no ever ly within the statut will apply and will e. cause the applic	ot, however, may a reply be time ory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from action to become ARANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c	ly. ommunication.				
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 S	eptember 20	003.						
	action is no							
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under E								
Disposition of Claims	•							
·								
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
7) Claim(s) <u>12,13 and 20</u> is/are objected to.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10,11 and 14-19</u> is/are rejected.							
·	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
	r ciccuon rec	quirement.						
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-	(d) or (f).					
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
det ind diagned detailed office action for a list	or the certifie	a copies not received	i.					
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Paper No(s)/Mail Dat)		-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office Act	tion Summary	Part	of Paper No./Mail Da	te 07222004				

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-9, drawn to a mold, classified in class 164, subclass 312.
 - II. Claims 10-20, drawn to a method, classified in class 164, subclass 120.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus can be used to make plastic product.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 4. During a telephone conversation with Mr. Carl Johnson on June 23, 2004 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of group II, claims 10-20.

Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 1-9 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/673,710

Art Unit: 1725

Page 3

Abstract

5. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Line 3, of the abstract, the term "invention" should be changed to - apparatus and method - to comply with the above objection.

Double Patenting

6. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

7. Claims 10, 11, 14, and 16-19 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-9 of U.S. Patent No. 6,564,853. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct

Art Unit: 1725

from each other because US '853 discloses the method of casting a battery part comprising directing a charge of molten lead into the battery cavity, allowing the battery cavity to fill, extending a retractable piston into the mold cavity while the lead in still in liquid state, wherein the piston is brought to substantial alignment with a face of the mold cavity as the volume contraction occurs, and maintaining a force to generate sufficient pressure on the piston as the molten lead solidifies to allow volume contraction of the lead in the cavity (claims 1-9).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 9. Claims 10, 11, and 14-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ratte (US 6,564,853).

The applied reference has a common *assignee* with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the

Application/Control Number: 10/673,710

Art Unit: 1725

inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

As to claim 10 and 19, Ratte discloses a method for casting molten lead into a battery cavity comprising the method of directing a charge of molten lead into a chamber in communication with the battery cavity, allowing the battery to fill with molten lead under gravity, extending a retractable piston (shutoff member) into engagement with a mold inlet while the molten lead in still in liquid state, and maintaining a force on the piston as the molten lead solidifies to allow the shutoff member to follow a volume contraction of the lead in the mold cavity (figures 5-7, col. 6, line 12-col. 7, line 17).

As to claim 11, the end face of the shutoff member is to be brought into substantial alignment with a face of the mold cavity as the volume contraction occurs during the solidification of the molten lead in the cavity (claim 2).

As to claim 14, the shutoff member is a moveable piston (55f).

As to claim 15, the mold inlet is on the top side of the battery cavity (figure 1).

As to claim 16, the molten lead is inside the mold cavity while the shutoff member is lowered (figure 7).

As to claim 17 and 18, Ratte discloses the step of maintaining a following force on the shutoff member when the shutoff member is in engagement with the inlet passage to permit the shutoff member to follow a volume contraction of the molten lead as the lead solidifies (col. 6, lines 53-62).

Art Unit: 1725

Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claims 12, 13, and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The prior arts of record fail to teach or suggest the step of forming the shutoff member with a dimension less than the dimension of the chamber so that when the shutoff member is in the closed condition the molten lead remains in a molten state around the shutoff member and to permit air to escape from the molten metal in the cavity but insufficient to permit molten metal to escape.

Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Len Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-1184. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30 - 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Dunn can be reached on (571) 272-1171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/673,710

Art Unit: 1725

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Len Tran

Examiner

Art Unit 1725

LT October 29, 2004